30 May 2024

South Taranaki District Council

Private Bag 902

Te Hāwera 4640

BY EMAIL planchange@stdc.govt.nz

Attention: South Taranaki District Council

Tēnā Koe

NGĀ MAHANGA - SUBMISSION TO SOUTH TARANAKI DISTRICT COUNCIL - PLAN CHANGE 3: PAPAKĀINGA DEVELOPMENT

A INTRODUCTION

Pouākai, Pukeiti, Patuhā, Pioke, Paritutu, Te Iringa-a-Niu and Kaitake stand within the rohe of Ngā Mahanga a Tāiri (Ngā Mahanga and Ngāti Tāiri). Each feature prominently in our kōrero relating to the arrival of Rua Taranaki to these lands after battles with both Ngāuruhoe and Tongariro.

From these Maunga flow waters sacred to Ngā Mahanga a Tāiri, with each assigned a spiritual guardian of their own and our many wāhi tapu, sites of significance dot these treasured places, from ancient pā, papa kainga, whare wānanga, numerous urupā, to taonga and sites of highly valued natural resource such as kōkōwai, rongoā Māori and māra kai.

An inheritance handed on to us by our tūpuna, those of us today strive to fulfil our obligations as Kaitiaki, to care for these taonga, these lands, waters and wāhi tapu. For in doing so, we honour the deeds of our Tūpuna, maintain a connection to our sacred places and spaces, which define us as Ngā Mahanga a Tāiri, and ensure this legacy continues to be handed on to future generations.

With the advent of war, confiscation and colonisation, Ngā Mahanga now sits under the mantle of TTe Kahui O Taranaki. Ngā Mahanga are based at Pūniho Pā-Tarawainuku Marae which is within the STDC rohe

B SUBMISSION OF NGĀ MAHANGA TO THE PROVISIONS OF THE PROPOSED PLAN CHANGE 3: PAPAKĀINGA DEVELOPMENT ARE INCLUDED IN TABLE 1.

The specific submissions and the decisions sought for Plan Change 3: Papakāinga Development are as follows:

Section /	Support /	Submission	Relief sought
Sub-section /	Support in	(Explain the reasons why	(Give precise details of the
Provision	Part /	you support/support in	decision you want the Council
	Oppose	part/oppose the specific	to make. To mark up changes to
		provisions or wish to have	a provision strike through text
		them amended.)	you want to remove and
			underline test you want to add)

D - 6:14'	lo	The Diese Of	Harlander I or reserve
Definitions – ANCESTRAL LAND	Oppose	The Plan Change proposes to introduce a definition of Ancestral	Unclear what role this definition plays within the plan.
		Land. This definition is not used anywhere else within the plan.	
LAND	Support in Part	The Plan Change introduces a definition for General Title Land: GENERAL TITLE LAND (IN RELATION TO PAPAKĀINGA DEVELOPMENT): means land that is owned by Māori but which is not held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993/Māori Land Act 1993. This definition needs to include a number of other exclusions for general title land to recognise properties returned through Treaty Settlement Process, or that remain in iwi, hapū or whānau ownership under a different construct.	
Definitions – PAPAKĀINGA DEVELOPMENT			Papakāinga Development to be inclusive of a range of other typical mana whenua iwi, hapū or whānau ownership structures or titles.

		L	
		This definition needs to be amended to provide for a range of other land tenures as per above.	
Definitions – PAPAKĀINGA DEVELOPMENT ON GENERAL TITLE LAND	Support		Retain the definition of Papakāinga Development on General Title.
		basis that amendment to General Title Land definitions are made to enable papakāinga to be built on the range of land tenures associated with mana whenua iwi, hapū or whānau.	
Rural Zone Rules – Rule 3.1.1(f)	Oppose	to amend the rule to read as follows:	Retain the operative plan rule which reads as follows: Papakāinga development

Rural Zone Rules	Support in	The Plan change	Amend the rule as follows:
- Rule 3.1.2(b)	Part	The Plan change introduces a new sub-rule	
- Kule 3.1.2(b)	rait 	that reads as follows:	(b) Papakāinga developments
		lilat reads as follows.	on land held under Te Ture
		(b) Papakāinga	Whenua Māori Act 1993 that do
		Γ , ,	not comply with one or more of
		1	the permitted activity
		Māori Act 1993 that do not	1 '
		comply with one or more of	i.
		the permitted activity	
		_ ·	Matters to which the Council
		Section 3.2.	restricts its control:
		330.1377 3.2.	i. Avoiding, remedying or
		Matters to which the	mitigating of actual or
		Council restricts its	potential effects deriving
		control:	from noncompliance with
		i. Avoiding, remedying or	·
		mitigating of actual or	standard(s) that is not
		potential effects	met.
		deriving from	ii. Effects on character and
		noncompliance with	amenity values.
		the particular	iii. Measures proposed to
		performance	avoid or mitigate potential
		standard(s) that is not	reverse sensitivity effects.
		met.	iv. Connection to services.
		ii. Effects on character	v. In areas not serviced by
		and amenity values.	reticulated wastewater,
		iii. Measures proposed to	stormwater and water
		avoid or mitigate	supply, on-site wastewater,
		potential reverse	water supply and
		sensitivity effects.	stormwater systems are
		iv. Connection to	developed to serve the
		services.	entire papakāinga.
		v. In areas not serviced	
		by reticulated	
		wastewater,	
		stormwater and water	
		supply, on-site	
		wastewater, water	
		supply and stormwater	
		systems are	
		developed to serve the	
		entire papakāinga.	
		Amendments to the rule is	
		required consistent with	
		the changes to definitions	
		outlined above.	
	Oppose		Retain the operative plan rule
Rules – Rule			which reads as follows:
4.1.1(e)		as follows:	<u></u>
			Papakāinga development

Panak	āinga development	
	d held under Te Ture	
	ua Māori Act 1993.	
	_	
The re	ference to Te Ture	
Whenu	ıa Māori Act 1993 is	
not cor	nsidered necessary	
given t	he definition of	
Papak	āinga Development	
alread	/ identifies the types	
of title	and ownership	
where	Papakāinga are	
able to	be established as	
permit	ed activities.	
	an change proposes Amend th	e rule as follows:
1	the following	
4.1.2(a) control	·	āinga developments
		d held under Te Ture
1	, 9	ıa Māori Act 1993 that
1	•	comply with one or
1 1		of the permitted activity
1 1		nance standards for
1 1		nd location (Rule
1		private outdoor living
1	•	Rule 4.2.3) or access
1		ading requirements
	andards for bulk and (Rule 4	1.2.9).
1	cation (Rule 4.2.2),	which the Council
1 1 1	5	which the Council
	,	Layout.
1 1	<u> </u>	le and design of
I I	•	dings.
		ects on existing
Matte		dential character and
		enity, including privacy,
contr		of healthy mature
I I		s and shading on
I I	·	phbouring properties.
I I I	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ation, function and
I I	_	enity of on-site open
I I I	sidential character spa	•
I I	·	ess, extent of
I I		ervious surfaces and
I I I		dscaping.
sh	ading on	· -
I I	ighbouring	
I I I	operties.	
iv. Lc	cation, function and	
an		
1 1 4"	nenity of on-site	

	1	,
	v. Access, extent of impervious surfaces and landscaping.	
	Amendments to the rule is required consistent with the changes to definitions outlined above.	
Oppose	to amend the rule to read as follows: Papakāinga development on land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993.	Retain the operative plan rule which reads as follows: Papakāinga development
	Whenua Māori Act 1993 is not considered necessary given the definition of Papakāinga Development already identifies the types of title and ownership where Papakāinga are able to be established as permitted activities.	
Support in Part	The Plan change proposes to add the following controlled activity: (a) Papakāinga developments on land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 that do not comply with one or more of the permitted activity performance standards in Section 5.2. Matters to which the Council restricts its control: i. Avoiding, remedying or mitigating of actual or potential effects deriving from noncompliance with the particular performance standard(s) that is not	(a) Papakāinga developments on land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 that do not comply with one or more of the permitted activity performance standards in Section 5.2. Matters to which the Council restricts its control: i. Avoiding, remedying or mitigating of actual or potential effects deriving from noncompliance with
	Support in	impervious surfaces and landscaping. Amendments to the rule is required consistent with the changes to definitions outlined above. Oppose The plan change proposes to amend the rule to read as follows: Papakāinga development on land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993. The reference to Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 is not considered necessary given the definition of Papakāinga Development already identifies the types of title and ownership where Papakāinga are able to be established as permitted activities. Support in Part The Plan change proposes to add the following controlled activity: (a) Papakāinga developments on land held under Te Ture Whenua Māori Act 1993 that do not comply with one or more of the permitted activity performance standards in Section 5.2. Matters to which the Council restricts its control: i. Avoiding, remedying or mitigating of actual or potential effects deriving from noncompliance with the particular performance

		ii. Effects on character and amenity values.	
		iii. Connection to	
		services.	
		30111003.	
		Amendments to the rule is	
		required consistent with	
		the changes to definitions	
		outlined above.	
Commoraid Zono	Onnoco	The plan change proposes	Datain the enerative plan rule
Commercial Zone Rules – Rule			Retain the operative plan rule which reads as follows:
		as follows:	WillCit reads as follows.
6.1.1(xiv)		as ioliows.	Panakāinga davalanmant
		Panakāinga davalanmant	Papakāinga development
		Papakāinga development on land held under Te Ture	
		Whenua Māori Act 1993.	
		The reference to Te Ture	
		Whenua Māori Act 1993 is	
		not considered necessary	
		given the definition of	
		Papakāinga Development	
		already identifies the types	
		of title and ownership	
		where Papakāinga are	
		able to be established as	
		permitted activities.	
Commercial Zone	Support in	The Plan change proposes	Amend the rule as follows:
Rules – Rule	Part	to add the following	
6.1.2(b)		controlled activity:	a. Papakāinga developments
			on land held under Te Ture
		b. <i>Papakāinga</i>	Whenua Māori Act 1993 that
		developments on land	do not comply with one or
		held under Te Ture	more of the permitted
		Whenua Māori Act 1993	activity performance
		that do not comply with	standards in Section 6.2.
		one or more of the	
		permitted activity	Matters to which the Council
		performance standards	restricts its control:
		in Section 6.2.	iv. Avoiding, remedying or
			mitigating of actual or
		Matters to which the	potential effects deriving
		Council restricts its	from noncompliance with
		control:	the particular performance
		i. Avoiding, remedying or	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
		mitigating of actual or	met.
		potential effects	v. Effects on character and
		deriving from	amenity values.
		noncompliance with	vi. Connection to services.
		the particular	
1		performance	

standard(s) that is not met. ii. Effects on character and amenity values. iii. Connection to services.	
Amendments to the rule is required consistent with the changes to definitions outlined above.	

C CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 1. Ngā Mahang Support, Support in Part and Oppose sections of Proposed Plan Change 3: Papakāinga Development, and seek the amendments as described in Table 1 to the Proposed Plan Change.
- 2. Ngā Mahanga could not gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission.
- 3. Ngā Mahanga wishes to be heard in support of our submission.
- 4. Ngā Mahanga will consider presenting a joint case with others who have made similar submissions.
- 5. Electronic address for service: secretary@puniho.co.nz, tanemanu77@gmail.com
 Postal Address: PO Box 385, New Plymouth 4310

Contact person: Tāne Manu

Ngā Mihi

Tāne Manukonga - Puniho Pa Trustee Ngā Mahanga Hapu Representitive